



(3)

C.F 100-00

CANCELLED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1098 OF 2001

Jeevdhan Lal Sahu Son of Shri Hetram Sahu, aged about 29 years Resident of Tahsil Kasdol, District:Raipur, Chhattisgarh : PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Education Secretary, D.K. Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh : RESPONDENTS

2. The Director, Public Instruction, School Education, Pension Bada, Raipur

3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Raipur.

4. The District Education Officer Pension Bada, Raipur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ACTICLE 226/227 of CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1078/ सन् 200/

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

07.08.2002

Shri R.S. Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate for the respondent / State.

Heard.

In view of the limited nature of the controversy involved, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending as it can be disposed of at this stage.

Admittedly, the petitioner was aggrieved by his non-selection to the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-I in the Govt. Higher Secondary School, Giroudpuri, Distt.: Raipur. He had challenged the same by way of a petition namely W.P.No.3448/1997, which was disposed of on 28.08.97 with a direction to the petitioner to approach the State Government in the Education Department in accordance with the provisions contained in paragraph 7.2 of the scheme laying a complaint against his non-selection and alleged illegal selection of his juniors. There was a specific direction that in case the petitioner submitted the complaint within 15 days from the date of that order, the

[पीछे देखिये

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1098/ सन् 200(

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Government shall take a decision and communicate the same to the petitioner within a month thereafter. It appears that there was some delay in submitting his complaint probably, because of which no action was taken. This lead to the petitioner filing a second petition namely W.P.No.5586/2000, which was disposed of in the following terms: -

> "Taking into consideration the submission made by Shri Jha the petition is disposed of with the direction that the competent authority shall pass necessary orders on the application of the petitioner for condonation of delay and if it is of the opinion that delay can be condoned then it shall proceed to decide the matter on merits and pass final orders.
>
> With the direction aforesaid the petition is disposed of."

It appears that no orders have been passed by the State Government, which has given rise to this third round of litigation. Since the grievance is regarding inaction, the petition is disposed of

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1098/2001 सन्

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

by directing the Government to pass appropriate orders on the application of the petitioner by 31st of October, 2002.

Needless to say that the decision taken is to be communicated to the petitioner promptly.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge

Soma